

RESILEX webinar, Monday 20.01.2025

## ECO-DESIGN PV MODULES: BIO-BASED MATERIALS INVESTIGATION Re Si lex : CSEM

## CSEM COMPANY OVERVIEW

We are a public-private, non-profit, Swiss technology innovation center.

We enable competitiveness through innovation by developing and transferring world-class technologies to industry.



## CSEM DNA COMES FROM WATCHMAKING ROOTS



## CSEM FOCUS ON THREE RESEARCH PRIORITIES





SUSTAINABLE ENERGY: RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

 $[$ \*\*] in gCO<sub>2</sub>eq/kWh [1] IPCC 2014 - Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change

## RESILEX PROJECT: PV MODULES

• PV provide electricity with less than 5% of the GHG emission<sup>[\*\*]</sup> of coal power<sup>[1]</sup> but ways for improvement at manufacturing and end of life level

Photovoltaics (PV) is key for transition to lower environmental impact energy sources

### **PV modules on roof Individual PV module**



 $[$ \*\*] in gCO<sub>2</sub>eq/kWh [1] IPCC 2014 - Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change

## RESILEX PROJECT: PV MODULES

• PV provide electricity with less than 5% of the GHG emission<sup>[\*\*]</sup> of coal power<sup>[1]</sup> but ways for improvement at manufacturing and end of life level



Demonstrate sustainable, ecodesigned PV cells & modules

• Investigation of various components & materials at cell and module levels to reduce the environmental impact of PV modules

Photovoltaics (PV) is key for transition to lower environmental impact energy sources

### **PV modules on roof Individual PV module**



Investigation of eco-design/bio-based materials for PV module components

## ECO-DESIGN PV MODULES

### **Conventional module BOM:**

Components with impacts on environment<sup>[1]:</sup> aluminium frame > glass > oil-based and/or fluorinated polymers**\*\***

### **Eco-design BoM investigation:**

- Reduce environmental impact: circular economy precepts<sup>[2]</sup>
- While keeping high reliability (kWh) and efficiency (KWp & KWh)



 $\blacksquare$  Frame Grina Germany EU china GGI Germany G BS, China Guest EU **G**Grev  $\mathbb{C}$  CSem

## ECO-DESIGN PV MODULES

### **Conventional module BOM:**

• Components with impacts on environment[1]: aluminium frame > glass > oil-based and/or fluorinated polymers**\*\***

### **Eco-design BoM investigation:**

- Reduce environmental impact: circular economy precepts<sup>[2]</sup>
- While keeping high reliability (kWh) and efficiency (KWp & KWh)

### **Biobased materials:**

- Completely or partially derived from biomass (wood, bio-based polymers or bio-based composites)
- Can decrease dependance on fossil resources and mitigate associated environmental consequences

### Are bio-based material suitable for PV? Is it environmentally relevant?

[1] Müller et al., Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, 230, 9 2021 [2] Heath et al., Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 72(6):478-539, 6



[1] Müller et al., Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, 230, 9 2021 [2] Heath et al., Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 72(6):478-539, 6

## ECO-DESIGN BACKSHEET

- Front glass presents high impact  $\rightarrow$  could be replaced by polymer frontsheet using rigid backsheet for mechanical stability
- Conventional backsheet low environmental/CO<sub>2</sub> impact but:
	- Non-rigid material
	- Multiliayer polymers PET/fluorinated ➔ oil-based and fluor is costly to treat and manage during end-of-life due to fluorinated emissions and wastes[2]



[1] Müller et al., Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, 230, 9 2021 [2] Heath et al., Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 72(6):478-539, 6

## ECO-DESIGN BACKSHEET

- Front glass presents high impact ➔ could be replaced by polymer frontsheet using rigid backsheet for mechanical stability
- Conventional backsheet low environmental/CO<sub>2</sub> impact but:
	- Non-rigid material
	- Multiliayer polymers PET/fluorinated ➔ oil-based and fluor is costly to treat and manage during end-of-life due to fluorinated emissions and wastes[2]
- **Objective:** developments of eco-design rigid composite backsheet to replace conventional one

**→ Investigation of biobased backsheet made** of flax fibers composite and polypropylene (PP)

- Flax = bio-based material
- PP could be bio-sourced

### PP/flax fibers backsheet

11 • Eco-design PV modules: bio-based materials investigation



## IMPLEMENTATION OF FLAX BACKSHEET

1200

1000

800

## **Conventional structure:** glass/backsheet

100

• Majority of PV modules





Y-pixel number<br>Y-pixel 200 250 00 300

### **Lightweight structure:** polymer frontsheet/rigid backsheet • For specific application or weight limited roofs





→ Successful lamination process: compatibility with other materials, no bubbles, no cell breaking

## IMPLEMENTATION OF FLAX BACKSHEET

## **Conventional structure:** glass/backsheet

• Majority of PV modules







### **Lightweight structure:** polymer frontsheet/rigid backsheet • For specific application or weight limited roofs





**Bifacial PERC solar cell** Solar the second of the second and the second and the second and the second of the second second second in the second of the

## → Successful lamination process: compatibility with other materials, no bubbles, no cell breaking

## Challenges:

- Low adhesion between encapsulant and backsheet: **key for reliability**
- Delamination interface: inside the composite itself, at the PP resin/flax fibers interface
- **→** Further investigations to improve the composite adhesion required





## FLAX BACKSHEET MODULES RELIABILITY

### **Reliability testing:**

- Damp Heat (DH): 85°C, 85%RH
	- Critical for humidity sensitive cell technologies
	- IEC norm: power loss <5% after 1000 hours
- Thermal Cycling (TC) -40°C  $\rightarrow$  +85°C (at MPP for ramp up)
	- Critical for potential mismatch between thermal expansion coefficients of the different PV components
	- IEC norm: power loss <5% after 200 cycles



## FLAX BACKSHEET MODULES RELIABILITY

### **Reliability testing:**

- Damp Heat (DH): 85°C, 85%RH
	- Critical for humidity sensitive cell technologies
	- IEC norm: power loss <5% after 1000 hours
- Thermal Cycling (TC) -40°C  $\rightarrow$  +85°C (at MPP for ramp up)
	- Critical for potential mismatch between thermal expansion coefficients of the different PV components
	- IEC norm: power loss <5% after 200 cycles
- Lightweight PV modules integrating flax backsheet presents high reliability, similar to the conventional structure
	- DH: all PV modules pass 2x IEC norm
	- TC: all PV modules pass 1x IEC, conventional structure with flax backsheet fall below -5% after ~270 hours



**→ Promising results: Flax backsheets pass** 1x the IEC norm in DH and TC for both conventional and lightweight structure

## FLAX BACKSHEET MODULES IMPROVEMENTS

### **Further eco-design BoM improvement:**

- Reduce environmental impact
- Improve reliability

### **Lightweight PV modules:**

- Polymer frontsheets exist but many are fluorinated<sup>[2]</sup> → CSEM developed its own non-fluorinated frontsheet
- Backsheet flax/PP
- CSEM formulation for encapsulant can be bio-sourced



## FLAX BACKSHEET MODULES IMPROVEMENTS

### **Further eco-design BoM improvement:**

- Reduce environmental impact
- Improve reliability

### **Lightweight PV modules:**

- Polymer frontsheets exist but many are fluorinated<sup>[2]</sup> → CSEM developed its own non-fluorinated frontsheet
- Backsheet flax/PP
- CSEM formulation for encapsulant can be bio-sourced

**DH:** IBC still stable after 2xIEC & SHJ passed below IEC norm after 1500 hours ➔ SHJ solar cell technologies are more sensitive to humidity

**→ Lightweight PV modules integrating nonfluorinated frontsheet**, **CSEM encapsulant** and **flax backsheet** pass the IEC norm in TC and DH





## FLAX BACKSHEET MODULES RELIABILITY

### **Environmental impact of the modules production:**

- Glass-glass modules ➔ highest global warming potential due to the glass contribution
- CSEM non-fluorinated polymer frontsheet and flax backsheet **→ lowest environmental impact**

Global warming potential (GWP) in  $kCO<sub>2</sub>$ eq/kWp of the modules production



## FLAX BACKSHEET MODULES RELIABILITY

### **Environmental impact of the modules production:**

- Glass-glass modules ➔ highest global warming potential due to the glass contribution
- CSEM non-fluorinated polymer frontsheet and flax backsheet **→ lowest environmental impact**

### **Flax backsheet:**

- Higher environmental impact than conventional backsheet
- But provides the mechanical stability allowing to remove the glass ➔ reduce the total PV module GWP
- **→ In-depth investigation of the different LCA impact categories** to compare flax and conventional backsheet env. impacts
- $\rightarrow$  Results are for module manufacturing (CO<sub>2</sub>eq./kWp): important to investigate the module lifetime and end of life(CO $_2$ /kWh)

Global warming potential (GWP) in  $kCO_2$ eq/kWp of the modules production



**→ PV module reliability impact: find the** best trade-off between long lifetime and eco-design PV module BOM

## CONCLUSION AND NEXT STEPS

- Environmental benefits of PV modules with biobased materials are not always guaranteed and require validation
	- **→ Require holistic approach considering module performance, module lifetime, module** production and end-of life
- Removing front glass of PV modules is significant to reduce its environmental impact ➔ Need of a rigid composite backsheet to bring mechanical stability
- Flax backsheets demonstrated promising results:
	- Successful lamination process compatible with others PV module components
	- Passed the IEC norm in DH and TC for both conventional and lightweight structures
	- Non-fluorinated composite & the PP can be bio-sourced

→ The environmental impact of flax backsheet will be investigated in more details, especially by comparing the different impact categories, to investigate their contributions in the total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ eq emission

# **: csem**

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR **ATTENTION**



Laurie-Lou Senaud *Senior R&D engineer Crystalline Silicon Solar Cells* [lls@csem.ch](mailto:lls@csem.ch)



## REFERENCES

• [IPCC 2014 - Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change] Bruckner T., I.A. Bashmakov, Y. Mulugetta, H. Chum, A. de la Vega Navarro, J. Edmonds, A. Faaij, B. Fungtammasan, A. Garg, E. Hertwich, D. Honnery, D. Infield, M. Kainuma, S. Khennas, S. Kim, H.B. Nimir, K. Riahi, N. Strachan, R. Wiser, and X. Zhang, 2014: Energy Systems. In: Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Edenhofer, O., R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth, A. Adler, I. Baum, S. Brunner, P. Eickemeier, B. Kriemann, J. Savolainen, S. Schlömer, C. von Stechow, T. Zwickel and J.C. Minx (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA?

# CSEM  $\bullet$ **FACING THE CHALLENGES OF OUR TIME**